



CONVERGE

RELATIONSHIP CONTINUITY RESEARCH

**From Encounter to Opportunity:
Friction, Context Preservation, and Relationship Continuity in Professional
Networking**

James Brenneis, Founder and Chief Executive Officer

Ben Brown, Proximal Strategies Leadership

Bobby Kruse, Artificial Intelligence, Intelligence Operations, and Public-Sector Innovation

Josh Trigg, Proximal Strategies Leadership

Jay Attila, M.S., Systems Engineer

Proximal Strategies

August 2026

Abstract

Professional networking creates access to information, mobility, collaboration, and opportunity, yet the mechanisms that connect an initial encounter to a durable professional relationship remain fragmented. This brief literature review synthesizes research on networking behavior, weak ties and social capital, online and face-to-face networking, communication and scheduling systems, prospective memory, and relationship maintenance. The literature consistently demonstrates that networking behavior is associated with career outcomes and that moderately weak ties can provide access to nonredundant opportunities. However, current tools generally support isolated transactions—identity exchange, platform connection, messaging, or meeting scheduling—rather than the continuity of context and intention across the full relationship lifecycle. Email and calendar systems reduce some coordination costs but may also become overloaded repositories for tasks, commitments, and contextual memory. Online and face-to-face networking appear complementary rather than interchangeable, with each supporting different relational functions. A central research gap concerns the measurable friction that occurs between encounter, context capture, intended follow-up, and sustained relationship development. An exploratory study of working professionals is therefore warranted to identify where continuity fails, which channels are preferred for specific activities, and which design capabilities may improve context retention and follow-through.

Keywords: professional networking, relationship continuity, social capital, weak ties, prospective memory, digital networking

From Encounter to Opportunity: Friction, Context Preservation, and Relationship Continuity in Professional Networking

Introduction

Professional networking is commonly treated as a recognizable professional behavior, but it is operationally composed of multiple distinct activities: meeting people, exchanging identity information, remembering contextual details, initiating follow-up, coordinating meetings, maintaining contact, and eventually creating mutual value. Research has established that networking matters, yet the systems used to support it remain distributed across business cards, contact lists, email, calendars, messaging applications, professional social networks, and customer relationship management platforms. The practical problem is therefore not simply whether people network. It is whether the context and intention created during an encounter can persist long enough to support meaningful action.

This review examines the literature relevant to a proposed applied research question: Among working professionals who engage in professional networking, how do existing contact-sharing, communication, scheduling, and networking practices influence perceived friction, context preservation, follow-through, and relationship continuity? Because the current inquiry is exploratory and does not yet test a defined intervention, a population-exposure-outcome structure is more appropriate than a traditional clinical PICOT question. The review is organized around five domains: professional networking behavior and outcomes; weak ties and social capital; online and face-to-face networking; communication and scheduling friction; and prospective memory and follow-through.

Professional Networking Behavior and Career Outcomes

Professional networking has been defined as the deliberate building, maintenance, and use of relationships for work or career purposes. Wolff and Moser (2009) advanced this literature through a longitudinal design that separated networking into internal and external behaviors and into the building, maintaining, and use of contacts. Their findings linked networking to concurrent salary and career satisfaction and suggested that some networking behaviors predict changes in career outcomes over time. This distinction is important because a digital contact exchange is not equivalent to relationship maintenance, and relationship maintenance is not equivalent to mobilizing a relationship for a specific purpose.

Forret and Dougherty (2004) similarly demonstrated that networking consists of multiple behaviors rather than a single trait. Activities such as maintaining contacts, socializing, participating in professional activities, and increasing visibility may have different relationships with career outcomes. This multidimensional view cautions against evaluating a networking platform only by the number of connections created. A system may increase contact acquisition while doing little to improve continuity, reciprocity, or useful follow-through.

Individual differences also affect networking behavior. Bendella and Wolff's (2020) meta-analysis connected networking with personality characteristics, indicating that users may experience the same networking mechanism differently. A person who avoids networking because of social discomfort presents a different design problem from a person who networks frequently but loses context or fails to follow up. An applied instrument should therefore distinguish interpersonal discomfort from mechanical or cognitive friction.

Weak Ties, Social Capital, and Opportunity

Granovetter's (1973) strength-of-weak-ties theory remains foundational because it explains why professional acquaintances can provide information and opportunities unavailable within a person's closest relationships. Weak ties often bridge otherwise separated social circles, increasing exposure to nonredundant information. Adler and Kwon (2002) placed these relational resources within the broader construct of social capital, emphasizing that the value of a network depends not only on the presence of ties but also on the resources accessible through them and the conditions that enable those resources to be mobilized.

More recent evidence has strengthened the weak-tie argument. Rajkumar et al. (2022) conducted large-scale randomized experiments through LinkedIn involving more than 20 million users. The study found a nonlinear relationship in which moderately weak ties were particularly effective in increasing job mobility. The findings reinforce the potential value of broad professional networks while also identifying a continuity problem: weak ties may be valuable precisely because they connect distant communities, but their lower interaction frequency can make their context easier to forget. Tools that preserve why, where, and under what circumstances a weak tie originated may therefore increase the practical usability of social capital without requiring the relationship to become artificially intensive.

Online and Face-to-Face Networking

Digital professional platforms extend networking beyond physical proximity, but online and offline networking should not be treated as interchangeable. Baumann and Utz (2021) found that online and offline professional networking were related yet distinct behaviors with different predictors. Online networking can expand reach and lower the effort required to identify or maintain connections, whereas face-to-face interaction can provide richer contextual and

interpersonal cues. These findings support a channel-specific approach: the preferred mechanism may depend on whether the task is discovery, identity exchange, trust development, coordination, or relationship maintenance.

Davis et al. (2020) examined networking through LinkedIn and reported career-related benefits associated with particular forms of platform use. Their work underscores the difference between passive platform presence and active networking behavior. Merely connecting on a platform does not guarantee that a relationship will be remembered, contextualized, or activated. Platforms can make ties visible while still leaving users to reconstruct the significance of the encounter from messages, profiles, or memory.

Modality-switching research also indicates that movement between digital and face-to-face channels can change relational outcomes. McEwan and Zanolli (2013) found that transitions from online to offline interaction could improve perceived closeness and anticipated relationship value. In professional contexts, the implication is that effective networking infrastructure should support transitions among channels rather than force a single mode. A person may discover another professional digitally, establish trust face-to-face, coordinate through email, and maintain awareness through a professional platform.

Contact Exchange and Identity Preservation

Business cards, QR codes, digital profiles, near-field communication, and manual contact entry all solve the narrow problem of transmitting identity information. The literature reviewed here provides much stronger evidence about networking behavior and social capital than about the comparative effectiveness of specific business-card formats. This imbalance is itself informative. Contact exchange is frequently treated as a solved usability problem even though identity transfer does not preserve encounter context, mutual intentions, ownership of follow-up,

or the reason the relationship mattered. An exploratory study should therefore measure both format preference and what respondents actually do with the information after receiving it.

The distinction between contact data and relationship context is central. A name, title, telephone number, or profile URL answers who the person is, but not why the connection was made, what was discussed, what each person agreed to do, or when a follow-up would be appropriate. The absence of this contextual layer may be especially consequential during conferences and other high-density professional environments, where individuals accumulate more interactions than they can reliably encode and retrieve.

Email, Calendars, and Meeting Coordination

Email and calendar tools are central to professional coordination, but they are frequently required to perform functions for which they were not originally optimized. Email may operate simultaneously as a communication channel, task list, archive, reminder system, and contextual memory store. Letmathe and Noll (2024) found that email-management strategies are associated with performance and that overload creates meaningful cognitive demands. In networking, a follow-up message can disappear into a crowded inbox, and the original context may become distributed across a business card, a calendar invitation, an email thread, and personal notes.

Meeting scheduling is likewise more complex than locating an open time. Shin and Higa (2005) compared face-to-face, automated, and email-based coordination, demonstrating that scheduling approaches differ in efficiency and user experience. Cranshaw et al. (2017) later described scheduling as a workflow involving negotiation, exceptions, preferences, and human judgment. Contemporary scheduling links reduce repeated availability exchanges, but they do not necessarily preserve why a meeting exists or connect the meeting to the originating relationship and intended outcome.

Prospective Memory and Follow-Through

The transition from intention to action is partly a prospective-memory problem. Prospective memory refers to remembering to perform an intended action in the future, such as sending information, making an introduction, or scheduling a follow-up. Dismukes (2012) explained that prospective-memory failures are common in workplace and everyday settings, particularly when attention is occupied by competing tasks and environmental cues are weak. This construct offers a psychological explanation for a common networking failure: a professional can sincerely intend to follow up and still fail to act.

A continuity-supporting system could function as an external prospective-memory aid by preserving intended actions and presenting them at an appropriate time. However, reminders alone may be insufficient when the user no longer remembers why the action mattered. Effective support may require the combination of person, encounter context, commitment, timing, and next action. This combination has not been adequately integrated within the networking literature reviewed here.

Synthesis and Research Gap

Across the literature, three conclusions are consistent. First, networking is consequential: relationship-building and maintenance are associated with career outcomes, and weak ties can create access to new information and opportunities. Second, online and face-to-face networking are complementary and support different functions. Third, communication and scheduling tools reduce selected transaction costs but can create fragmentation when relationship context is dispersed across systems.

The principal gap is not whether professional networks matter. It is the lack of integrated evidence about the friction between an encounter and a durable, actionable relationship. Existing

studies typically examine networking behavior, social-capital outcomes, platform use, communication, scheduling, or memory as separate phenomena. Less is known about where professionals perceive breakdowns across the complete sequence of encounter, contact exchange, context capture, intended action, meeting coordination, follow-through, and relationship maintenance.

This gap supports an exploratory mixed-methods study beginning with interviews or focus groups across varied industries and career stages. Qualitative findings could identify language, practices, and failure points that are not visible within a military-adjacent or technology-focused founding team. A subsequent survey could measure the prevalence and severity of those friction points, compare preferences for paper and digital contact exchange, assess channel preferences by networking activity, and evaluate whether context loss and prospective-memory failure predict unsuccessful follow-through.

Implications for an Applied Research Framework

A defensible initial research question is: Among working adults who engage in professional networking, how do current contact-sharing, communication, scheduling, and networking practices shape perceived friction, context preservation, follow-through, and relationship continuity? The population includes working adults who participate in professional, entrepreneurial, educational, or community-based relationship development. The exposure is routine use of existing networking mechanisms. The outcomes are perceived friction, retained context, completed follow-up actions, successful meeting coordination, and sustained professional contact.

A later pilot can convert this exploratory question into an intervention-based PICOT-style question: Among professionals who regularly participate in networking activities, does use of an

integrated relationship-continuity platform, compared with usual networking and contact-management practices, improve context retention and completed follow-up over a defined pilot period? The literature review does not establish that any particular platform will produce these effects. It establishes that the constructs are theoretically defensible, practically important, and sufficiently fragmented to warrant empirical study.

Conclusion

Professional relationships produce value when people can preserve and mobilize the meaning of an encounter, not simply retain a name or platform connection. Research on networking, social capital, weak ties, digital platforms, scheduling, and prospective memory provides a strong foundation for studying relationship continuity. At the same time, these literatures remain siloed. A focused exploratory study can clarify where networking mechanics create friction for working professionals outside the founders' immediate demographic and can provide an empirical basis for later product design and pilot evaluation. The resulting evidence should be used to test intuition, not merely validate it.

References

- Adler, P. S., & Kwon, S.-W. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(1), 17–40. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2002.5922314>
- Baumann, L., & Utz, S. (2021). Professional networking: Exploring differences between offline and online networking. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 15(1), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.5817/CP2021-1-2>
- Bendella, H., & Wolff, H.-G. (2020). Who networks? A meta-analysis of networking and personality. *Career Development International*, 25(5), 461–479. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CDI-12-2019-0289>
- Cranshaw, J., Elwany, E., Newman, T., Kocielnik, R., Yu, B., Soni, S., Teevan, J., & Monroy-Hernández, A. (2017). Calendar.help: Designing a workflow-based scheduling agent with humans in the loop. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 2382–2393). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025780>
- Davis, J., Wolff, H.-G., Forret, M. L., & Sullivan, S. E. (2020). Networking via LinkedIn: An examination of usage and career benefits. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 118, Article 103396. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2020.103396>
- Dismukes, R. K. (2012). Prospective memory in workplace and everyday situations. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 21(4), 215–220. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721412447621>
- Forret, M. L., & Dougherty, T. W. (2004). Networking behaviors and career outcomes: Differences for men and women? *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 419–437. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.253>

- Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360–1380. <https://doi.org/10.1086/225469>
- Letmathe, P., & Noll, E. (2024). Analysis of email management strategies and their effects on email management performance. *Omega*, 124, Article 103002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2023.103002>
- McEwan, B., & Zanolli, D. (2013). When online meets offline: A field investigation of modality switching. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(4), 1565–1571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.01.020>
- Rajkumar, K., Saint-Jacques, G., Bojinov, I., Brynjolfsson, E., & Aral, S. (2022). A causal test of the strength of weak ties. *Science*, 377(6612), 1304–1310. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl4476>
- Shin, B., & Higa, K. (2005). Meeting scheduling: Face-to-face, automatic scheduler, and email-based coordination. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 15(2), 137–159. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327744JOCE1502_3
- Wolff, H.-G., & Moser, K. (2009). Effects of networking on career success: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(1), 196–206. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013350>

Author Note

This manuscript was prepared as an applied literature review for Proximal Strategies and the CONVERGE research initiative. Professional roles are presented using the leadership information available in the working website materials. Academic degrees are included only where verified. The manuscript is not presented as peer-reviewed research and does not report findings from human participants. Any future data collection should use informed consent, minimize collection of personally identifiable information, and distinguish exploratory product research from academic or clinical research.